



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/204,971	12/03/1998	DAVID LARS EHNEBUSKE	AT9-98-267	9311

7590

02/12/2002

DUKE W YEE  
CARSTENS YEE & AHOON  
P O BOX 802334  
DALLAS, TX 75381

EXAMINER

INGBERG, TODD D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2122

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Interview Summary**

Application No.  
**09/204,971**

Applicant  
**David Lars Ehnebuske et al.**

Examiner  
**Todd Ingberg**

Group Art Unit  
**2122**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Todd Ingberg

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Steve Walder

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 8, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic      b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes      e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Identification of prior art discussed:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

See Attachment.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

**Interview Summary**  
**09/204,971**

The following two issues were discussed

- The Examiner choice of words "Finding of Fact"
- The Flowmark™ product

"Finding of Fact"

Applicant's Representative was concerned over the phrase "Finding of Fact" used by the Examiner. The Examiner explained the intent was that the Examiner based on Applicant's response to the Office action, the Examiner has an understanding of the terms and not that there is closure on the issue regarding terms. The Examiner found the Applicant's response to the issue of terms to be excellent and stated this during the interview. Furthermore, the Applicant has every right to dispute and/or clarify any term.

Flowmark™

The Examiner statements directed to the Flowmark™ product was discussed. The Examiner had searched for information on the product and placed it on a PTO-892 as requested by Applicant. Examiner does not have any reason to believe the invention and the Flowmark™ product are related.

This should clarify the Examiner's position on these two issues.

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.